

Erratum

We regret that Roberts et al. (2010. Identifying Anomalous Reports of Putatively Extinct Species and Why it Matters. *Conservation Biology* 24:189-196) contains a minor error in the legend for Fig. 2. The corrected figure legend should read as follows (correction underlined>):

Figure 2. Estimated probability (p) that a species persists, given the sighting records shown in Table 2, for the (a) Eskimo Curlew, (b) Ivory-billed Woodpecker, (c) Nukupu`u, and (d) O`ahu`Alauahio. Estimates based on two different types of sighting records (physical evidence, solid line; independent expert opinion, dashed line). As p approaches zero the burden of proof for a new sighting should increase.

This error does not alter any of the results or conclusions in the paper.