Ricardo Mallarino et al.

1. Any other evidences can be used to support the two-module program of beak-shape development?
2. Whether Bmp4 and Cam can be considered as one module based on the result of figure 4?

Kevin J. Parsons et al.

1. How to understand the null model was consistently ranked as the lowest-supported model? Is there any possibility that some models should be worse than the no integration model?
2. Are you convinced that the suction-feeding species reflected a functionally based pattern and biting species displayed developmental modules?
3. Is it meaningful to analyze the individual metric of modularity in this paper?
4. Are the QTL evidences enough to support the genetic basis of modularity?

Jeff Clune et al.

1. How to understand 0% of PA trials answer the left and right problems?
2. In figure 3, there are also non-modular, high-cost networks that are high-performing, compared with figure 2 c&d, what is your conclusion?
3. In figure 5a, for the non-modular problem, P&CC has higher modularity than PA, why the performance is the same? Will that be useful when modularity exist for the non-modular problem?
4. In figure 4b, why the MVG (PA) drop down after short-time increase?
5. In your opinion, what kind of networks may have connections?